Winning organizations over to free software By Knut Yrvin Aug 8. 2011





- Pilot project
- Validation and confirmation
- Best practices
- Do and what not to do

Based on

- Rolling out Libre/OpenOffice in municipalities and city councils
- Rolling out Skolelinux at all schools in municipalities, cities and whole states
- Participating and leading partly or whole free software projects for 10 years



CV on Knut Yrvin

- Telenor in 1986 technical assistant
- Engineer in electronics 1992
- Telenor project planning 1993
- Master in system development 2000
- Consultant for three years
 - Norwegian Central Securities Depository, different banks and other industries
- Co-founded Skolelinux in 2001
 - Now a part of Debian Edu
- Trolltech ASA 2006-2008
- Qt DF, a Nokia Company 2008 →



About the case



- Medium Norwegian Municipality
- 25.000 citizens
- 4.000 OpenOffice users
 - 1500 employees
 - 3000 students



Adoption plan

- Start using OpenOffice.org in schools from 2005
- Has to integrate better with Learning Management System (LMS)
- Plan to move from Wordpro to OpenOffice.org for the doc/archive system ESA
 - Vendor: EDB
- Improved user experience in general



Clear benifits

- Open Standards (reference catalog in public sector which pre-conditions ODF. MS Doc's are optional)
- Lowering maintenance cost (licenses and upkeep)
- Unrestricted use also for users at home, independent on platform
 - No hidden school fee when using Libre/OpenOffice and other free software at home
 - Runs cross platform on OS X, Linux og Windows



Pilot project

- Standard installation
 - We added the MS libraries which was lacking (.dll's)
 - Using standard installation for Libre/OpenOffice
 - Following the online guideline to the letter (it's not difficult, it takes less than a day)
- Standard project management
 - Reference group
 - Going straight for the problem list, solving issues
 - Using status to govern the project going forward

Examples of systems to integrate

- Microsoft Windows (servers and desktops)
- NOARK (EDB / ESA doc/archive system)
- E-mail system
- Web browser (IE, Firefox, Opera)
- Management system (wiki)
- Learning Management System (It' learning)

This system has to co-work and integrate nicely if Libre/OpenOffice shall work

Doc/Archive System (EDB - ESA)

- Challenges
 - DLL's and ActiveX
 - Libre/OpenOffice.org BASIC
 - The glue between OpenOffice and ESA (Microsoft DDE)

Problems solved

Management System

Symptom

- Hyperlinks with bookmarks file:///Documents And Settings/User/FileName.doc#Bookmark
 - Import of hyperlinks from MS .docs
 - Hyperlinks are stored 3 different places in MS .docs
- Symptom
 - Export of hyperlinks to MS .doc
 - Absolute: look above
 - Relative: file://../ny sti/FilNavn.doc

Problems solved – Couldn't fix MS Office Made workaround in Libre/OpenOffice

Learning Management System

- Symptom
 - Document could not be opened or saved in the It's learning LMS
- Solution
 - The vendor fixed their ActiveX plugin which now works with Libre/OpenOffice

Problems solved

User Experience

• Symptom: OpenOffice.org hangs

Smart Notebook Whiteboard application

- OpenOffice.org Plugin
- Installed newest Smart Notebook version avoids the problem (including use of newer MS DLL's)
- Symptom: .docx files didn't work
 - OpenOffice < 3.3 using ODF Converter Integrator
 - Upgrade to OpenOffice >= 3.3

Problems solved

Integration issues summary

Symptom	Problem						Fixed
	MS DLL/ActiveX	MS Windows	MS Office	MS IE	Syst. Vendor	Libre/O penOffi ce	
Locked files		Х					Yes
Software packaging					Х		Yes
Withboard hangs	Х	Х					Yes
Hyperlink import in .doc			Х				Yes
Hyperlink export in .doc						Х	Yes
.doc's don't open in MS Internet Explorer				Х			Yes
No documents opens in MS Outlook		Х					Yes
OpenOffice didn't work with LMS	X				Х		Yes
Lack of integratin with doc/archive-system					Х		Yes
OpenOffice hangs when restarting	X						Yes

Technical effort

- 8 Microsoft-relevant bugs and workarounds
- 3 Vendor based bugs and workarounds
- 1 Libre/OpenOffice workaround to fix MS Office bug.
 - Thanks to technical advice from Microsoft technical ecangelist

Our work methods

- Best practice
 - Use of incident (ticker) system for tracking errors and fixes
 - Use of 4-5 reference users who are confirming that a problem is solved before deployment
 - Every machine get the fix or patch installed on their computer
- Quality in the whole user chain
 - Proper testing before rollout, confirmed by reference users
 - All fixes are used when going forward, "not leaving anyone behind"
 - All patches relevant for Libre/OpenOffice committed upstream

Good processes

- Direct contact between 4-5 reference users and the persons fixing and integrating the product
- Followed standard online howto's for packaging, configuring and rolling out Libre/Office to the letter
- Added the right Microsoft libraries (DLL's)
- The project has rolled out all fixes and workarounds for the reference group, verifying those. This to ensure proper quality when rolling out software for all users.
- The municipality got good systems for efficient and effective patch upgrades of software on their respective platforms

Pilot project

- Standard installation
 - We added the MS libraries which was lacking (.dll's)
 - We used standard installation for Libre/OpenOffice
 - Following the online guideline to the letter (it's not difficult, it takes less than a day)
- Standard project management
 - Identified problems to solve
 - Referance group to confirm bugs and fixes
 - Status by issue tracker (bug and feature tracker)

Examples of systems to integrate

- Microsoft Windows (servers and desktops)
- NOARK (EDB / ESA doc/archive system)
- E-mail system
- Web browser (IE, Firefox, Opera)
- Management system (wiki)
- Learning Management System (It' learning)

This system has to co-work and integrate nicely if Libre/OpenOffice shall work

Doc/Archive System (EDB - ESA)

- Challenges
 - DLL's and ActiveX
 - Libre/OpenOffice.org BASIC
 - The glue between OpenOffice and ESA (Microsoft DDE)

Problems solved – ready for deployment

Management System

Symptom

- Hyperlinks with bookmarks file:///Documents And Settings/User/FileName.doc#Bookmark
 - Import of hyperlinks from MS .docs
 - Hyperlinks are stored 3 different places in MS .docs
- Symptom
 - Export of hyperlinks to MS .doc
 - Absolute: look above
 - Relative: file://../ny sti/FilNavn.doc

Problems solved – Couldn't fix MS Office Made workaround in Libre/OpenOffice

Learning Management System

- Symptom
 - Document could not be opened or saved in the It's learning LMS
- Solution
 - The vendor fixed their ActiveX plugin which now works with Libre/OpenOffice

Problems solved

User Experience

• Symptom: OpenOffice.org hangs

Smart Notebook Whiteboard application

- OpenOffice.org Plugin
- Installed newest Smart Notebook version avoids the problem (including use of newer MS DLL's)
- Symptom: .docx files didn't work
 - OpenOffice < 3.3 = ODF Converter Integrator
 - Upgrade to OpenOffice >= 3.3

Problems solved

Integration issues summary

Symptom	Problem						Fixed
	MS DLL/Active X	MS Window s	MS Office	MS IE	Syst. Vendor	Libre/ OpenO ffice	
Locked files		Х					Yes
Software packaging					Х		Yes
Withboard hangs	Х	Х					Yes
Hyperlink import in .doc			Х				Yes
Hyperlink export in .doc						Х	Yes
.doc's don't open in MS Internet Explorer				X			Yes
No documents opens in MS Outlook		X					Yes
OpenOffice didn't work with LMS	X				Х		Yes
Lack of integratin with doc/archive-system					Х		Yes
OpenOffice hangs when restarting	X						Yes

Techincal effort

- 8 Microsoft-relevant bugs and workarounds
- 3 Vendor based bugs and workarounds
- 1 Libre/OpenOffice workaround to fix MS Office bug.
 - Thanks to technical advice from Microsoft technical ecangelist

Our work methods

- Best practice
 - Use of incident (ticker) system for tracking errors and fixes
 - Use of 4-5 reference users who are confirming that a problem is solved before deployment
 - Every machine get the fix or patch installed on their computer
- Quality in the whole user chain
 - Proper testing before rollout, confirmed by reference users
 - All fixes are used when going forward, also commuted upstream

Good processes

- Direct contact between 4-5 reference users and the persons fixing and integrating the product
- Followed standard online howto's for packaging, configuring and rolling out Libre/Office to the letter
- Added the right Microsoft libraries (DLL's)
- The project has rolled out all fixes and workarounds for the reference group, verifying those. This to ensure proper quality when rolling out software for all users.
- The municipality got good systems for efficient and effective patch upgrades of software on their respective platforms

Good project management

- All project participants got a complete and updated list of bugs, fixes and workarounds – such that the project management and the participants know what to fix, and what works and can be used
- The system vendors has to be a part of the incident ticker (bug tracker). That way they can be kept responsible for how their solve their system bugs

One municipality which failed

- Rolled out a wrongly packed (breaking best practice) Libre /OpenOffice for all, without any quality insurance
- No use of reference users to confirm bugs and fixes
- No problem-list or tickers to monitor bugs and fixes done in the project. No progress status or overview of fixes.
- No rolling-out of fixes under the project period, even if problems was fixed and made fully available for test and deployment
- Libre/OpenOffice got the blame for clear cut bugs in MS Office and Internet Explroer in the management system
- Those who fixed the bugs didn't have real access to users, validating that fixes worked

Do and don't do

Do Sane defaults

- Sane defaults
 - Standard packaging
 - Includ MS-libraries (DLL's) as ordered
- Configuration are sometimes flawn
 - Since no fix in MS products might be alowed or wanted, make workarround in Libre/OpenOffice
- The project is the task list and the reference group and the end users who need handhelding and will confirm that fixes works

Don't Fuel uncertainty

- Be extreamely carefull when discussing project forks as the splitt between Libre and OpenOffice
- Such "facts" are uninteresting for the project perspective, that the only thing users want is stability, confirmation that "everything" works, and security for using the software for years without worry (= having a trustworthy partner who fixes the problems)

Don't play zero-sum games

- Eager free software enthusiasts are often fast to point out all the different opinions in free software, painting a black and white picture biased to their own preference
 - Exampels: Libre Office is better than OpenOffice, Use GNOME over KDE etc.
- When painting biased black and white picture, you're unfocusing on the users needs, making them really uncertain on what to do. Customers will abandon you. Instead they go for a proprietatry wendor – paying 3-5 times more (read Microsoft "Evangelism is War" document on groklaw)
- Badly lead projects and computer departments only look for your weakness, and whom to blame. They are not at all addressing factbased problems which easily can be fixed and rolled out to the users

Do Best practices

- Focus on measurable facts and the task-list at hand, fix the issues according to agreement, and get the fixes confirmed by the reference group – show those
- The user organizations want stability and confirmation of trust, not organizational uncertainty – give them trust and certainty
 - E.g if a big corporation contributes to a project, be certain to mention that it ads certainty and validity

Be professional

- Sell, don't tell
 - You have to get leads and follow up on them, selling your solution, getting the assignment or else MS wins the deal
 - Don't blame the free software project for only delivering a 80% working solution
 - Pic a 100% working solution, and then get the customer to use it and pay for the work to get it adapted, integrated and used – if it's less than 100% and worth while – fix it your self by getting customers to pay for it
- Listen with your both ears, be in 'we can look at it', and fix the major challenges, given the opportunity to do so

100% products and backers

- It's a huge different of having a page with some text, and having qualified guides on how to roll out, configure and use products
 - "We have it" arguments don't stick if it's unqualified
- It's a huge different rolling out software with full business support backed by companies, or choosing a product only 80% done, where a new maintainer may take the product in a entirely new direction

80% psychology

- In projects like this, 80% of the work are about human issues – not related to fixing the issues at hand
 - Project management
 - Tutoring users
 - Meetings
 - Blah blah ...
- Only 20% of the project time are about fixing the technical issues

Invoice the full project, not only the 20% technical part

Thank You Q & A